SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, MARCH 20, 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S068742 Francisco Paz, Plaintiff and Appellant,

V.

State of California et al., Defendants and Respondents.

[T]he judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed and the cause remanded with directions to enter judgment in favor of defendants Stoneman and KOA.

Chin, J.

We Concur:

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Brown, J.

Concurring Opinion by Mosk, J.

Dissenting Opinion by George, C.J.

4th Dist. People, Respondent

E021662 v.

Div. 2 James Victor Hodnett, Appellant

S085123 The order denying review filed on February 23, 2000, is hereby

modified to reflect the above-captioned corrected Court of Appeal

case number and Supreme Court case title.

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

B119255/S085279 Estate of Morris I. Brenner; William James Osborne v. Anita Susan Brenner – April 20, 2000.

B128175/S085345 People v. Joseph Ralph Leyran – April 20, 2000.

C034508/S085428 Kanika Wells v. Sacramento County Superior Court; People, RPI – April 21, 2000.

E022305/S085287 People v. Scott Andrew Hove – April 20, 2000.

S077757 In re Osbun Walton

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including April 3, 2000.

S081969 People, Respondent

v.

Luis Amador, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's answer brief on the merits is extended to and including April 26, 2000.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S082782 The Hartwell Corporation et al., Petitioners

v.

Ventura County Superior Court, Respondent Kristin Santamaria et al., Real Parties in Interest

On application of petitioners Covina Irrigating Company and California Domestic Water Company and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioners' answer brief on the merits is extended to and including May 4, 2000.

S085054 In re **Biana Coltun** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Biana Coltun, State Bar No. 105572,** be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until she has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for two years, including one year actual suspension recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed December 2,

1999. Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on December 5, 1998 (*In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 270). It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

S085058 In re **Gerhard M. Heimann** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Gerhard M. Heimann**, State Bar No. 36868, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)